Synthesis of Triphenylene-Based Triptycenes via Suzuki−Miyaura Cross-Coupling and Subsequent Scholl Reaction

Dennis Reinhard, Frank Rominger, and Michael Mastalerz*

Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universitat Heidelberg, I[m N](#page-5-0)euenheimer Feld 273, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany ̈

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [A two-step m](#page-5-0)ethod (Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling, followed by Scholl oxidation) to triphenylene-based triptycenes is described, rendering a variety of π -extended triptycenes accessible in high yields and without the necessity of column chromatography purification. The versatility of this reaction has been demonstrated in the synthesis of a supertriptycene in only four steps and high yields.

Triptycenes with extended conjugated π -planes have gained
interest due to their high intramolecular free volume
 $(MEN)^{1}$ as non-waven for 1D and 2D normal palmage 2,3 $(MFV)^{1}$ as precursors for 1D and 2D porous polymers,^{2,3} supramolecular porous materials 4 such as organic molecules with in[tri](#page-5-0)nsic microporosities (OMIMs), fluorescent mark[ers](#page-5-0) for in vivo studies,⁵ and for or[g](#page-5-0)anic electronics.⁶ The most frequently used reactions to construct such π -extended triptycenes are con[de](#page-5-0)nsation reactions, e.g., of di[ke](#page-5-0)tones with diamine moieties. Examples of π -extended triptycenes with exclusively aromatic hydrocarbon scaffolds are much rarer.^{1,8} In 2009, King and [co](#page-6-0)-workers have reported for the first time triphenylene-based triptycenes (TBTs), which have [b](#page-5-0)[e](#page-6-0)en synthesized by the reaction of hexabromotriptycene 1 with biphenylene zirconium reagents to give the corresponding TBTs in 9% yield.⁹ Later, the yield could be improved to 28% by using bis(tert-butyl)-biphenylene stannane instead of the corresponding zi[rc](#page-6-0)onium reagent in a palladium-catalyzed reaction.¹⁰ However, the scope of these reactions is limited to three compounds and the yields are not satisfying. Further[mo](#page-6-0)re, one has to take into account that the required organometallic species first have to be synthesized in a multiplestep sequence and that the zirconium reagents are chemically $labile.¹$

It is well-known that substituted triphenylenes can be synth[esi](#page-6-0)zed from the corresponding o-terphenyls either by photoirradiation in the presence of an oxidant such as $I_2^{\;12}$ or by using organic or metal-based oxidative reagents, such as DDQ or $\text{FeCl}_{3}^{13,14}$ often referred to as the Scholl oxidati[on](#page-6-0).¹⁵ In general, triphenylenes can be synthesized in high yields by the Scholl o[xidati](#page-6-0)on, if some guidelines concerning substit[ut](#page-6-0)ion effects are taken into account.¹⁶

Since o-terphenyls are easily accessible by 2-fold Suzuki− Miyaura cross-coupling of 1,2[-di](#page-6-0)bromoarenes with arylboronic esters or acids, 17 we developed a two-step method from hexabromotriptycene 1 to synthesize TBTs, generally in higher yields than by [pre](#page-6-0)viously described methods. In addition, in most cases, no column chromatographic workup procedures were necessary.

The first step of the reaction sequence was the 6-fold palladium-catalyzed transformation of hexabromotriptycene $1³$ to the corresponding tris(terphenyls) 3a−3g (Scheme 1). All compounds could be isolated in yields of 61−95%. With th[e](#page-5-0) exception for dodecamethoxyterphenyl 3f[, no col](#page-1-0)umn chromatographic purification was necessary: after dispersing the crude products in methanol and sonicating the suspension, the white solids were collected by filtration, washed with methanol and *n*-pentane, and precipitated from $CHCl₃$ and MeOH to give compounds 3a−3g in pure form. This was proved by NMR spectroscopy and elemental analyses (see the Experimental Section and Supporting Information). Compounds 3a and 3b have been additionally characterized by [single-crystal X-ray an](#page-2-0)alysis (see the [Supporting Infor](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01520/suppl_file/jo5b01520_si_001.pdf)mation). To directly compare this method with the methods by King and co-workers, $9,10$ we first investig[ated compound](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01520/suppl_file/jo5b01520_si_001.pdf) 4a in the Scholl reaction. The oxidation reaction was performed in an analogous man[ner](#page-6-0) to a protocol of Rathore et al., 13 where methanesulfonic acid was added dropwise to a cooled solution (0 °C) of 3a in dry DCM, followed by the addition [of](#page-6-0) 4.5−5 equiv of DDQ in one portion. After 10−15 min, the reaction was quenched and the crude product washed with methanol, nhexane, and n-pentane to give, after drying, 62% of 3a as an offwhite solid. The combined yield of 43% is significantly higher

Received: July 3, 2015 Published: August 20, 2015

 ${}^a 4\mathbf{g}$ was the observed main product but could not be isolated by common methods. b For detailed reaction conditions, see the Experimental Section.

Figure 1. X-ray structure analysis of triphenylene triptycene 4e. (a, b) Packing of two adjacent molecules by $\pi-\pi$ stacking. (c) Voids (blue) of the crystal for a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ unit cell described by the Connolly surface area for a probe with radius 1.2 Å. Enclathrated chloroform molecules have been omitted for clarity.

than by the "zirconium or stannylene routes" published before.^{9,10}

It has previously been shown that the position of the tertbutyl [grou](#page-6-0)p has only a minor effect on the performance of the Scholl reaction and also inhibits vicinal reactions and polymerization processes.¹⁶ Therefore, we tested 3b under the same cyclization conditions as 3a and obtained the corresponding triphenyle[ne](#page-6-0) compound 4b in an even higher yield of 71%. Substrates bearing methoxy groups in para- or ortho-positions to the formed C−C bonds can usually be converted in very high, sometimes quantitative, yields in the oxidative C−C coupling.¹³ Indeed, the hexamethoxy compound 3c and the veratrole derivative 3e were converted to the corresponding triphenyl[en](#page-6-0)es 4c and 4e in 51% and 48% yield, respectively. For 4e, single crystals of sufficient quality for analysis by X-ray diffraction have been grown from chloroform (see Figure 1; for crystallographic data, see the Supporting Information). Through $\pi-\pi$ -stacking (the closest distance of two atoms of adjacent π -planes is $d = 3.55$ Å), 4e is packed in a [manner tha](#page-5-0)t large three-dimensional voids (blue surface in Figure 1) are formed, making the compound interesting as a precursor for porous supramolecular solids, which will be studied and reported in due course.

Rathore et al. have also reported that terphenyls with methoxy groups meta to the formed C−C bond can be converted under typical Scholl conditions in 60% yield.¹³ However, when we tried to apply these conditions for 3d, the starting material was fully consumed, but no 3-fold oxidiz[ed](#page-6-0) product 4d was detected at all. Neither changing the acid from $MeSO₃H$ to $BF₃·Et₂O$ in the DDQ oxidation reaction nor using anhydrous $FeCl₃$ in nitromethane as oxidative reagent led to isolable amounts of 4d. These observations are in accordance to the guidelines provided before for Scholl oxidation.¹⁶ A similar result has been found when applying the DDQ methods for

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Supertriptycene 8

compound 3f, where each cross-coupled aromatic ring bears methoxy groups in the 3- and 5-positions. In this case no formation of 4f was detected: With $MeSO₃H$ the reaction gave an unidentifiable product mixture, while with $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ only starting material could be recovered. The conversion of nonsubstituted terphenyl 3g to 4g is hampered, probably because of a low solubility of intermediates and the product. Although, by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude product, the formation of 4g as the main product has been observed (Supporting Information), the compound could not be purified by common methods. This once more confirms the results for [unsubstituted terphenyls](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01520/suppl_file/jo5b01520_si_001.pdf) in Scholl oxidation reactions made before by King et al. It should also be mentioned that, for 4g, the zirconium method of King et al. is the method of choice because no intermolecular oxidative coupling can occur.⁹

To demonstrate the applicability of the new route, we envisioned to use it for the synthesis of supertrip[ty](#page-6-0)cene congener 8 in only four steps (Scheme 2).⁸ Triptycenyl pinacolboronate 6 was accessible in 70% yield from 2 bromotriptycene 5, which was synthesized ac[co](#page-6-0)rding to an early described procedure of Friedman and Logullo, in 16% yield by a Diels−Alder reaction of anthracene and 5-bromo anthranilic acid.¹⁸ Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling of 6 with hexabromide 1 gave hexatriptycenyl triptycene 7 in 84% yield. The subsequent [o](#page-6-0)xidative cyclodehydrogenation of 7 gave 8 in 74% yield. As described above, no column chromatography was necessary for the purification of both compounds. It is worth mentioning that no formation of other regioisomers of 8 has been observed, which most probably can be explained by the fused-ortho effect, 19 which suppresses the oxidative bond formation ortho to the bridgeheads.

To conclude, we [ha](#page-6-0)ve presented a two-step method, which allows access to triphenylene triptycenes in high yields. In almost all cases, the products were isolated in pure form, even without applying column chromatographic purifications. In accordance to observations made before for the Scholl reaction, only terphenyls with a certain substitution pattern of electrondonating groups allow a good conversion to the final products. Larger structures, such as supertriptycene 8, can be synthesized by this method in high yields.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. All reagents including the arylboronic acids 2a−g and solvents were commercially available and used without further purification. Hexabromotriptycene 1 was synthesized analogous to the literature known procedure from King et al.³ For thin-layer chromatography, silica gel 60 F_{254} plates were used and examined under UV-light irradiation (254 and 365 nm). [F](#page-5-0)lash column chromatography was performed on flash silica gel (particle size: 0.04−0.063 mm) using light petroleum ether, toluene, ethyl acetate, and DCM. Melting points are not corrected. NMR spectra were recorded on 600 MHz (¹H NMR: 600 MHz; ¹³C NMR: 151 MHz), 500 MHz (¹H NMR: 500 MHz; ¹³C NMR: 126 MHz), 400 MHz (¹H NMR: 400 MHz; 13C NMR: 101 MHz), and 300 MHz spectrometers $(^{1}H$ NMR: 300 MHz, ^{13}C NMR: 75 MHz) at 298 K, unless otherwise mentioned. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to traces of CHCl₃ (δ_H = 7.26 ppm, δ_C = 77.16 ppm) or $C_2H_2Cl_4$ (δ_H = 5.963 ppm, δ_C = 73.78 ppm) in the corresponding deuterated solvent. IR spectra were recorded on a Fourier transform spectrophotometer equipped with a Ge ATR crystal. UV−vis spectra were recorded on double-beam UV−vis spectrophotometers utilizing either double or single monochromators and photomultiplier tube detectors. MS and HRMS (MALDI and DART) experiments were carried out in positive mode on a Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance (FT-ICR) mass spectrometer equipped with a 9.4 T superconducting magnet and interfaced to a dual ESI/MALDI source. Crystal structure analysis was accomplished on a diffractometer with a molybdenum source $(\lambda(MoKa) = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$. Data processing and absorption correction (SADABS)²⁰ were accomplished by standard methods. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares using SH[EL](#page-6-0)XL software.²¹ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined using anisotropic thermal parameters; hydrogen atoms were treated using appropriate riding [mo](#page-6-0)dels. All crystallographic information files (CCDC 1409998 (3a), CCDC 1409999 $(3b)$, and CCDC 1410000 $(4e)$) have been deposited in the

Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and can be downloaded free of charge via www.ccdc.camac.uk/data_request/cif.

2-Bromotriptycene 5.¹⁸ To a refluxing solution of anthracene (4.13 g, 23.1 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in MeCN (90 mL) were added solutions of is[oamylnitrite \(](www.ccdc.camac.uk/data_request/cif)[3.](#page-6-0)[43 mL, 25.5 mmol, 1.1](www.ccdc.camac.uk/data_request/cif)0 equiv) in MeCN (1.5 mL) and 5-bromoanthranilic acid (5.50 g, 25.5 mmol, 1.10 equiv) in 120 mL of 5:1 (v/v) MeCN/diglyme concurrently over a period of 2 h. The mixture was then refluxed another 2 h until MeCN was distilled off. After cooling to r.t., 50 mL of 4:1 (v/v) MeOH/H₂O was added. Crystallized anthracene was then removed by filtration. The mother liquor was left overnight, and the precipitate was filtered off. The off-white crude product was further purified by column chromatography (SiO₂; light petroleum ether/DCM 20:1) to give 5 as a colorless solid (1.22 g, 3.65 mmol, 16%): mp 159−160 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52 (d, J = 1.8, 1H), 7.38 (dd, J = 5.3, 3.2, 4H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.9, 1H), 7.11 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.9, 1H), 7.01 (dd, J = 5.3, 3.2, 4H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 5.37 (s, 1H) ppm; 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 147.8, 144.9, 144.7, 144.6, 128.1, 127.0, 125.6, 125.5, 125.2, 123.9, 123.8, 118.7, 53.9, 53.7 ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: [M]+ Calcd for $C_{20}H_{13}Br$ 332.01951; Found 332.01915. The analytical data are in accordance to those published before.^{18,22}

2-Triptycenylboronic Acid Pinacol Ester 6. In an oven-dried and argon-purged Schlenk flask, 2-b[romo](#page-6-0)triptycene 5 (1.00 g, 3.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in dry THF (10 mL), and n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 2.06 mL, 1.10 equiv) was added dropwise at −78 °C. After stirring for 2 h at the same temperature, isopropoxyboronic acid pinacol ester (0.80 mL, 3.90 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added, and the mixture was warmed to r.t. overnight. The reaction was quenched by addition of sat. aq. NH4Cl solution (15 mL). After phase separation and extraction with DCM $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, the organic layer was washed twice with H_2O and brine, dried over $MgSO_4$, and solvent was removed in vacuum to give the crude product as a colorless residue. Purification via flash column chromatography on silica gel (light petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 40:1) yielded 6 as a colorless solid (799 mg, 2.10 mmol, 70%): mp 266–268 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (s, 1H, H-1), 7.48 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.0, 1H, H-3), 7.40 (d, J = 7.3, 1H, H-4), 7.38−7.32 (m, 4H, H-5,8,11,14), 6.97 (m, 4H, H-6,7,12,13), 5.43 (s, 1H, H-10), 5.42 (s, 1H, H-9) ppm; 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.7 (C-4a), 145.3 (C-8a,10a,10b,14a), 145.0, 144.8 (C-9a), 132.5 (C-3), 129.6 (C-1), 125.4 (C-6,7,12,13), 125.3 (C-6,7,12,13), 123.8 (C-5,8,11,14), 123.8 (C-5,8,11,14), 123.3 (C-4), 83.8 (OC(CH₃)₂), 54.5 (C-10), 54.2 (C-10), 25.0 (−CH₃) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \overline{v} 3073 (w), 2991 (w), 2981 (w), 2953 (w), 1615 (w), 1603 (w), 1572 (w), 1493 (w), 1458 (m), 1417 (m), 1385 (m), 1372 (m), 1352 (s), 1327 (m), 1311 (m), 1291 (m), 1270 (m), 1215 (w), 1195 (w), 1166 (m), 1146 (s), 1121 (m), 1109 (w), 1097 (w), 1070 (m), 1022 (w), 1005 (w), 983 (w), 962 (w), 944 (w), 926 (w), 913 (w), 889 (w), 877 (w), 858 (m), 830 (w), 797 (w), 771(w), 750 (s), 738 (s), 701 (w), 683 (m), 650 (m), 634 (m), 624 (m), 612 (w) cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (DART) $m/z:$ $[M+NH_4]^+$ Calcd for $C_{26}H_{29}BNO_2$ 398.22904; Found 398.22794. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₅BO₂·H₂O: C, 78.40, H, 6.83. Found: C, 78.76, H, 6.57.

General Procedure for Suzuki−Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reactions (GP1). In a screw capped vessel, hexabromotriptycene 1 (250 mg, 344 μmol, 1.00 equiv) and arylboronic acid 2a−g (6.60−9.00 equiv) were dissolved to 10 mL of a degassed 1:1-mixture (v/v) of THF and 1 M aq. K_2CO_3 solution. After addition of Pd_2dba_3 (37.8 mg, 41 μ mol, 12 mol %) and HP(tBu)₃BF₄ (29.9 mg, 103 μ mol, 30 mol %), the vessel was purged with argon, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at 80 °C. After cooling to r.t., EtOAc (10 mL) was added and the phases were separated. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution, H₂O, and brine and dried over MgSO₄, and solvents were evaporated in vacuum to give the crude product as a yellow residue. After dispersing in methanol, sonication and filtration, the product was washed with MeOH (3×10 mL) and *n*-pentane ($3 \times$ 20 mL) to give 3a−g as a white powder.

2,3,6,7,12,13-Hexa-((3′-tert-butyl)phenyl)triptycene 3a. According to GP1, hexabromotriptycene 1 and 3-(tert-butyl) phenylboronic acid 2a (500 mg, 2.84 mmol, 7.8 equiv) gave, after workup, 3a as a colorless solid (266 mg, 0.25 mmol, 70%): mp 351 °C

(under dec.); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.59 (s, 6H, tript-H), 7.19−7.14 (m, 12H, Ar-H-4′,5′), 7.04 (m, 6H, Ar-H-6′), 6.95 (m, 6H, Ar-H-2′), 5.69 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 1.06 (s, 54H, $-C(CH_3)$) ppm; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 150.4 (ArC-C(CH₃)), 144.3 (triptC), 141.3 (triptC-Ar), 138.6 (ArC-1′), 128.3 (ArC-2′), 127.8 (ArC-5′), 126.7 (ArC-6′), 125.9 (triptC-H), 123.1 (ArC-4′), 53.4 (bridgehead-C), 34.5 (Ar-C(CH₃)₃), 31.3 (Ar-C(CH₃)₃) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \bar{v} 2961 (m), 2904 (w), 2867 (w), 1737 (w), 1603 (w), 1580 (w), 1460 (m), 1415 (w), 1362 (m), 1268 (w), 1217 (w), 1203 (w), 1093 (w), 893 (w), 865 (w), 795 (s), 708 (s) cm⁻¹; UV−vis $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$ (log) 251 (5.18) sh 292 (4.59); HRMS (MALDI) m/z : [M]⁺ Calcd for C₈₀H₈₆ 1046.67240; Found 1046.67117. Anal. Calcd for $C_{80}H_{86} \cdot 1/3H_2O$: C, 91.21, H, 8.29. Found: C, 91.22, H, 8.14.

2,3,6,7,12,13-Hexa-((4′-tert-butyl)phenyl)triptycene 3b. According to GP1, hexabromotriptycene 1 and 4-(tert-butyl) phenylboronic acid 2b (544 mg, 2.84 mmol, 9.0 equiv) gave, after workup, 3b as a colorless solid (302 mg, 0.29 mmol, 84%): mp 297 °C (under dec.); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51 (s, 6H, tript-H), 7.17 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 12H, Ar-H-3'), 7.00 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 12H, Ar-H-2'), 5.57 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 1.27 (s, 54H, −C(CH₃)₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.1 (ArC-C(CH₃)), 144.1 (triptC), 138.7 (ArC-1′), 137.7 (triptC-Ar), 129.7 (ArC-2′), 126.1 (triptC-H), 124.7 $(ArC-3')$, 53.4 (bridgehead-C), 34.5 $(Ar-C(CH_3)_3)$, 31.5 ppm $(Ar C(CH_3)$ ₃); FT IR (ATR) \overline{v} 3028 (w), 2961 (m), 2904 (w), 2867 (w), 1515 (w), 1462 (s), 1417 (w), 1393 (w), 1362 (m), 1268 (m), 1201 (w), 1113 (m), 1013 (w), 944 (w), 901 (w), 854 (w), 834 (s), 826 (s), 801 (w), 759 (w), 750 (w), 712 (w), 667 (w) cm⁻¹; UV−vis $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$ (log) 254 (5.15) sh 292 (4.47); HRMS (MALDI) m/z: [M]+ Calcd for $C_{80}H_{86}$ 1046.67240; Found 1046.67203. Anal. Calcd for $C_{80}H_{86}$ 1/ 3H2O: C, 91.21, H, 8.29. Found: C, 91.27, H, 8.28.

2,3,6,7,12,13-Hexa-(3′-methoxyphenyl)triptycene 3c. According to GP1, hexabromotriptycene 1 and 3-methoxyphenylboronic acid 2c (340 mg, 2.27 mmol, 6.6 equiv) gave, after workup, 3c as an off-white solid (193 mg, 0.22 mmol, 63%): mp 248 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.56 (s, 6H, tript-H), 7.11 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 6H, Ar-H-5′), 6.72 (m, 12H, Ar-H-4′,6′), 6.62 (m, 6H, Ar-H-2′) 5.65 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 3.59 (s, 18H, OCH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.2 (ArC-OMe), 144.3 (tript-C), 142.9 (Ar-C-1'), 137.8 (triptC-Ar), 129.0 (ArC-5), 125.1 (triptC-H), 122.5 (ArC-4′/6′), 115.1 (ArC-2′), 112.9 (ArC-4′/6′), 55.2 (OCH3), 53.3 (bridgehead-C) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \bar{v} 2955 (w), 2936 (w), 2832 (w), 1601 (s), 1578 (s), 1488 (w), 1466 (s), 1429 (m), 1389 (w), 1319 (w), 1287 (s), 1262 (m), 1242 (m), 1209 (s), 1177 (m), 1034 (s), 995 (w), 860 (m), 785 (s), 703 (s), 634 (w) cm[−]¹ ; UV−vis λmax/nm (log) 248 (5.04), 283 (4.55); HRMS (MALDI) m/z : [M]⁺ Calcd for C₆₂H₅₀O₆ 890.36019; Found 890.35953. Anal. Calcd for $C_{62}H_{50}O_6$: C, 83.57, H, 5.66. Found: C, 83.34, H, 5.65.

2,3,6,7,12,13-Hexa-(4′-methoxyphenyl)triptycene 3d. According to GP1, hexabromotriptycene 1 and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid 2d (361 mg, 2.40 mmol, 7.0 equiv) gave, after workup and precipitation from CHCl₃ with MeOH, 3d as an off-white solid $(270$ mg, 0.30 mmol, 88%): mp 241 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.48 (s, 6H, tript-H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 12H, Ar-H-3'), 6.74 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 12H, Ar-H-2′), 5.59 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 3.77 (s, 18H, OCH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.3 ppm (ArC-OMe), 144.1 (tript-C), 137.3 (triptC-Ar), 134.3 (ArC-1′), 131.1 (ArC-3'), 126.1 (triptC-H), 113.5 (ArC-2'), 55.3 (O-CH₃), 53.3 (bridgehead-C) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \bar{v} 3012 (w), 2952 (w), 2932 (w), 2832 (w), 1607 (m), 1576 (w), 1513 (s), 1462 (s), 1440 (m), 1421 (w), 1391 (w), 1289 (m), 1244 (s), 1176 (s), 1107 (w), 1044 (m), 1026 (m), 905 (w), 850 (w), 828 (s), 812 (m), 789 (m), 779 (m), 763 (w), 734 (w), 685 (w) cm[−]¹ ; UV−vis λmax/nm (log) 260 (5.04) sh 290 (4.57); HRMS (MALDI) m/z : [M]⁺ Calcd for $C_{62}H_{50}O_6$ 890.36019; Found 890.35946. Anal. Calcd for $C_{62}H_{50}O_6 \cdot H_2O$: C, 81.92, H, 5.77. Found: C, 81.54, H, 5.72.

2,3,6,7,12,13-Hexakis-((3′,4′-dimethoxyphenyl)triptycene 3e. According to GP1, hexabromotriptycene 1 and (3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)boronic acid 2e (433 mg, 2.40 mmol, 7.0 equiv) gave, after workup procedure, 3e as a colorless solid (309 mg, 0.29 mmol, 84%): mp 197 \degree C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.55 (s, 6H, tript-H),

6.74 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 6H, Ar-H-5'), 6.71 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.6 Hz, 6H, Ar-H-6'), 6.56 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 6H, Ar-H-2'), 5.65 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 3.84 $(s, 18H, Ar-OCH₃-4')$, 3.57 $(s, 18H, Ar-OCH₃-3')$ ppm; ¹³C NMR $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ 148.3 (ArC-OMe), 147.8 (ArC-OMe), 144.1 (triptC), 137.5 (triptC-Ar), 134.4 (ArC-1′), 125.9 (triptC-H), 122.0 $(ArC-6')$, 113.6 $(ArC-2')$, 110.9 $(ArC-5')$, 56.0 $(OCH₃)$, 55.8 (OCH₃), 53.3 (bridgehead-C) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \overline{v} 2991 (w), 2934 (w), 2832 (w), 1605 (w), 1578 (w), 1558 (w), 1541 (w), 1513 (s), 1464 (s), 1407 (m), 1329 (w), 1242 (s), 1170 (s), 1138 (s), 1058 (w), 1026 (s), 975 (w), 883 (m), 856 (m), 809 (m), 791 (w), 765 (m), 734 (w), 665 (w), 610 (w) cm⁻¹; UV−vis λ_{max} /nm (log) 265 (4.89) sh 286 (4.78); HRMS (MALDI) m/z: [M]+ Calcd for $C_{68}H_{62}O_{12}$ 1070.42358; Found 1070.42624. Anal. Calcd for $C_{68}H_{62}O_{12}$: C, 76.24, H, 5.83. Found: C, 75.94, H, 5.65.

2,3,6,7,12,13-Hexakis-((3′,5′-dimethoxyphenyl)triptycene 3f. According to GP1, hexabromotriptycene 1 and (3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)boronic acid 2f (556 mg, 3.09 mmol, 9.0 equiv) gave, after workup, column chromatography on silica gel (PE/EA 2:1), and precipitation from CHCl₃ with MeOH, $3f$ as a colorless solid (327 mg, 0.31 mmol, 89%): mp 345 °C (under dec.); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.55 (s, 6H, triptC-H), 6.30 (s, 18H, Ar-H-2',4'), 5.63 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 3.60 (s, 36H, Ar-OCH₃-3',5') ppm; ¹³C NMR $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 160.3 (ArC-OMe), 144.3 (ArC-1'), 143.5 (tript-C), 137.8 (triptC-Ar), 125.8 (triptC-H), 108.0 (ArC-2′), 99.4 (ArC-4'), 55.4 (OCH₃), 53.3 (bridgehead-C) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \bar{v} 2997 (w), 2936 (w), 2834 (w), 1588 (s), 1454 (m), 1423 (m), 1391 (m), 1350 (w), 1319 (w), 1287 (w), 1250 (w), 1203 (s), 1150 (s), 1085 (w), 1060 (s), 1038 (m), 991 (w), 930 (w), 907 (w), 891 (w), 869 (w), 834 (m), 695 (m) cm[−]¹ ; UV−vis λmax/nm (log) 240 (5.06) sh 292 (4.43); HRMS (MALDI) m/z : [M]⁺ Calcd for C₆₈H₆₂O₁₂ 1070.42358; Found 1070.42113. Anal. Calcd for $C_{68}H_{62}O_{12}$: C, 76.24, H, 5.83. Found: C, 76.32, H, 5.98.

2,3,6,7,12,13-Hexaphenyltriptycene 3g. According to GP1, hexabromotriptycene 1 and phenylboronic acid 2g (371 mg, 3.09 mmol, 9.0 equiv) gave, after workup, 3g as a colorless solid (227 mg, 0.32 mmol, 93%): mp > 400 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.55 (s, 6H, tript-H), 7.18−7.16 (m, 18H, 3′,4′,5′-H), 7.10−7.09 (m, 12H, $2'$,6′-H), 5.65 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3): δ 144.3 (tript-C), 141.6 (ArC-1′), 137.9 (triptC-Ar), 130.1 (ArC-2′), 128.0 (ArC-3′), 126.5 (tript-CH), 126.2 (ArC-4′), 53.3 (bridgehead-C) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \bar{v} 3081 (w), 3059 (w), 3024 (w), 2946 (w), 1601 (w), 1495 (w), 1466 (m), 1444 (w), 1395 (w), 1262 (w), 1195 (w), 1075 (w), 1020 (w), 903 (w), 805 (w), 761 (m), 695 (s), 634 (w) cm[−]¹ ; UV−vis λmax/nm (log) 250 (4.99) sh 289 (4.35); HRMS (MALDI) m/z : [M]⁺ Calcd for C₅₆H₃₈ 710.29680; Found 710.29800. Anal. Calcd for $C_{56}H_{38}\cdot 1/2H_2O$: C, 93.43, H, 5.46. Found: C, 93.50, H, 5.52.

2,3,6,7,12,13-Hexakis-(triptycen-2′-yl)triptycene 7. According to GP1, hexabromotriptycene 1 (110 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and 2-triptycenylboronic acid pinacol ester 6 (379 mg, 1.00 mmol, 6.60 equiv) were mixed in 5 mL of 1:1 (v/v) THF/K₂CO₃ (1M, aq.). Pd₂dba₃ (21 mg, 0.02 mmol, 0.15 equiv) and $HP(tBu)_{3}BF_{4}$ (16 mg, 0.05 mmol, 0.36 equiv) were added and heated for the given time. After standard workup, followed by precipitation from hot $CHCl₃$ with MeOH, 7 was obtained as a colorless solid (224 mg, 0.13 mmol, 84%): mp > 400 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.35 (m, 12H, H-5′,11′), 7.32 (s, 6H, tript-H), 7.17 (m, 12H, H-8′,14′), 7.01 (m, 30H, H-1′, H-6′,7′,12′,13′), 6.92 (d, 6H, H-4′), 6.44 (d, 6H, H-3′), 5.40 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H-9,10), 5.29 (s, 6H, bridgehead-H-9′), 5.03 (s, 6H, bridgehead-H-10[']) ppm; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 145.5 (C-8′a,10′a,10′b,14′a), 145.4 (C-8′a,10′a,10′b,14′a), 144.9 (C-9′a), 144.0 (triptC-4a,8a,9a,10a,10b,14a), 143.4 (C-4′a), 138.3 (C-2′), 137.6 (triptC-tript'), 126.9 (C-3′), 125.9 (triptC-H), 125.3 (C-1′), 125.1 (C-6′,7′,12′,13′), 123.7 (C-8′,14′), 123.5 (C-5′,11′), 123.0 (C-4′), 54.1 (bridgehead-C-10′), 53.8 (bridgehead-C-9′), 53.2 (bridgehead-C-9,10) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \overline{v} 3065 (w), 3038 (w), 3018 (w), 2955 (w), 1711 (w), 1456 (s), 1417 (w), 1387 (w), 1360 (w), 1313 (w), 1295 (w), 1283 (w), 1217 (w), 1187 (w), 1158 (w), 1119 (w), 1087 (w), 1022 (w), 934 (w), 922 (w), 901 (w), 881 (w), 860 (w), 828 (w), 795 (w), 783 (w), 738 (s), 708 (w), 669 (w), 661 (w), 630 (s), 624 (s) cm[−]¹ ;

UV-vis $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm (log) 267 (5.28) sh 292 (4.50); MS (MALDI) m/z 1767.69 $[M + H]^+$; Anal. Calcd for C₁₄₀H₈₆·H₂O: C, 94.14, H, 4.97. Found: C, 93.77, H, 5.10.

General Procedure for Scholl Oxidative Cyclodehydration Reactions (GP2). In a oven-dried and argon-purged Schlenk flask, 1 mL of MeSO₃H was added dropwise to a solution of 3a–c in 9 mL of dry DCM, and DDQ (3.3−5.0 equiv) was added in one portion under ice-bath cooling. After stirring for 5−20 min at 0 °C or r.t., the reaction was stopped by pouring the dark green to blue mixture into 25−50 mL of a saturated NaHCO3 solution, which was stirred vigorously for another 20 min. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 15 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extract was washed twice with water (20 mL) and brine (20 mL) and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product 4a−f as an off-white to brownish residue.

10,21-((6′,11′-Di-tert-butyl)triphenylen-2′,3′-yl)-10,21-dihydro-(2,7,13,18-tetra-tert-butyl)tetrabenzo[a,c,l,n]pentacene **4a.** According to GP2, DDQ (26.2 mg, 115 μ mol, 3.5 equiv) was added to a solution of 3a (35 mg, 33 μ mol, 1.0 equiv) in DCM/ MeSO₃H, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. After workup, the crude product was washed with n -hexane and n -pentane, to obtain 4a as a colorless solid (21.5 mg, 21 μ mol, 62%); mp > 400 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.93 (s, 6H, H-1',4',9,11,20,22), 8.70 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 6H, H-5',12',1, 8,12,19), 8.50 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 6H, $H-8'$,9',4,5,15,16), 7.66 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.5 Hz, 6H, $H-7'$,10',3,6,14,17), 6.55 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 1.54 (s, 54H, $-C(CH_3)_3$) ppm; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 149.3 (C-6',10',2,7,13,18), 143.1 (C-2′,3′,9a,10a,20a,21a), 129.2 (C-4′b,12′a,8a,11b,19a,22b), 128.4 (C-4′a,12′b,8b,11a,19b,22a), 127.6 (C-8′a,8′b,4a,4b,15a,15b), 124.9 (C-7′,10′,3,6,14,17), 123.0 (C-8′,9′,4,5,15,16), 119.3 (C-5′,12′,1,8,12,19), 118.5 (C-1',4',9,11,20,22), 54.3 (bridgehead-C), 35.2 ($C(CH_3)$, 31.7 $(C(CH_3)_3)$ ppm; FT IR (ATR): \overline{v} 2953 (s), 2902 (m), 2867 (w), 1615 (w), 1493 (m), 1484 (m), 1460 (m), 1405 (m), 1362 (m), 1262 (s), 1203 (w), 1128 (w), 969 (w), 879 (m), 814 (s), 779 (w), 728 (m), 665 (w), 646 (w), 632 (m) cm⁻¹; UV–vis $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$ (log) 266 (5.31), 277 (5.22), 318 (4.65) ; MS (MALDI) m/z 1041.63 $[{\rm \,M}$ + H] $^+;$ Anal. Calcd for $C_{80}H_{80}·H_2O$: C, 90.69, H, 7.80. Found: C, 90.55, H, 7.79.

10,21-((7′,10′-Di-tert-butyl)triphenylen-2′,3′-yl)-10,21-dihydro-(3,6,14,17-tetra-tert-butyl)tetrabenzo[a,c,l,n]pentacene **4b.** According to GP2, DDQ (26.2 mg, 115 μ mol, 3.5 equiv) was added to a solution of 3b (35 mg, 33 μ mol, 1.0 equiv) in DCM/ MeSO₃H, and the mixture was stirred for 12 min at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. After workup, the crude product was washed with n -hexane and n -pentane, giving a colorless solid. After precipitation from hot $CHCl₃/MeOH$, 4b was obtained as a colorless solid (23 mg, 21 μ mol, 67%); mp > 400 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (s, 6H, H-1',4',9,11,20,22), 8.62 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 6H, H-5′,12′,1,8,12,19), 8.60 (s, 6H, H- $8′,9′,4,5,15,16$), 7.70 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.0 Hz, 6H, H-6′, 10′, 2,7, 13, 18), 6.15 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 1.49 (s, 54H, −C(CH3)3) ppm; 13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.4 (C-7',10',3,6,14,17), 143.0 (C-2′,3′,9a,10a,20a,21a), 129.6 (C-8′a,8′b,4a,4b,15a,15b), 127.8 (C-4′b,12′a,8a,11b,19a,22b/4′a,12′b,8b,11a,19b,22a), 127.7 (C-4′b,12′a,8a,11b, 19a,22b/4′a,12′b,8b,11a,19b,22a), 125.0 (C- $6'$,10',2,7,13,18), 123.2 $(C-5'$,12',1,8,12,19), 119.0 $(C-$ 8′,9′,4,5,15,16), 118.4 (C-1′,4′,9,11,20,22), 54.5 (bridgehead-C), 35.1 $(C(CH₃)₃$, 31.6 $(C(CH₃)₃$) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \overline{v} 2961 (s), 2904 (w), 2867 (w), 1741 (w), 1717 (w), 1615 (w), 1582 (w), 1542 (w), 1513 (w), 1470 (s), 1417 (m), 1401 (m), 1362 (m), 1305 (w), 1264 (s), 1217 (w), 1203 (w), 1174 (w), 1144 (w), 1111 (w), 1042 (w), 1022 (w), 946 (w), 934 (w), 922 (w), 909 (w), 879 (s), 852 (w), 840 (w), 812 (s), 787 (w), 742 (w), 720 (w), 699 (w), 683 (w), 669 (w), 646 (w), 634 (w), 606 (s) cm[−]¹ ; UV−vis λmax/nm (log) 268 (5.25), 279 (5.25), 339 (4.03), 355 (3.96); MS (MALDI) m/z 1041.64 [M + $[H]^+$; Anal. Calcd for $C_{80}H_{80}.1/3$ H_2O : C, 91.78, H, 7.76. Found: C, 91.64, H, 7.58.

10,21-(6′,11′-Dimethoxytriphenylen-2′,3′-yl)-10,21-dihydro- $(2,7,13,18$ -tetramethoxy)tetrabenzo $[a,c,l,n]$ pentacene 4c. To a solution of 3c (29 mg, 33 μ mol, 1.0 equiv) in dry DCM (9 mL) and methanesulfonic acid (1 mL) was added DDQ (37 mg, 165 μmol, 5.0

equiv), and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at 0 °C. After quenching and standard workup, the crude product was washed with n -hexane and n-pentane. Purification via column chromatography on silica gel (toluene/ethyl acetate) gave 4c as an off-white solid (15 mg, 17 μ mol, 51%); mp > 400 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₂D₂Cl₄): δ 8.71 (s, 6H, H- $1′$,4′,9,11,20,22), 8.38 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 6H, H-8′,9′,4,5,15,16), 8.02 (d, J $= 2.4$ Hz, 6H, $H-S', 12', 1, 8, 12, 19$, 7.19 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.5 Hz, 6H, H-7′,10′,3,6,14,17), 6.18 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 4.02 (s, 18H, OCH3) ppm; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, C₂D₂Cl₄): δ 158.2 (C-6',10',2,7,13,18), 143.2 (C-2′,3′,9a,10a,20a,21a), 130.0 (C-4′b,12′a,8a,11b,19a,22b), 128.0 (C-4′a,12′b,8b,11a,19b,22a), 124.6 (C-8′,9′,4,5,15,16), 124.0 $(C-8a,8b,4a,4b,15a,15b), 118.7 (C-1,4,9,11,20,22), 115.8 (C-1,1)$ $7′$,10′,3,6,14,17), 106.0 (C-5′,12′,1,8,12,19), 55.8 (OCH₃), 54.1 (bridgehead-C) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \bar{v} 2959 (w), 2930 (w), 2832 (w), 1711 (w), 1613 (s), 1582 (w), 1495 (s), 1462 (m), 1452 (m), 1429 (m), 1413 (s), 1299 (w), 1270 (m), 1234 (s), 1205 (m), 1174 (m), 1148 (w), 1111 (m), 1101 (m), 1046 (s), 999 (w), 973 (w), 881 (m), 850 (m), 836 (m), 801 (s), 781 (m), 716 (w), 683 (w), 622 (w) cm⁻¹; UV–vis $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$ (log) 272 (4.63), 321 (4.04), 348 (3.37), 367 (3.34); HRMS (MALDI) m/z : [M]⁺ Calcd for C₆₂H₄₄O₆ 884.31379; Found 884.31526. Anal. Calcd for $C_{62}H_{44}O_6$ 2.5 H_2O : C, 80.07, H, 5.31. Found: C, 79.80, H, 5.18.

10,21-(6′,7′,10′,11′-Tetramethoxytriphenylen-2′,3′-yl)- 10,21-dihydro-(2,3,6,7,13,14,17,18-octamethoxy)tetrabenzo- [a, c, l, n] pentacene 4e. To a solution of 3e (106 mg, 99 μ mol, 1.0 equiv) in dry DCM (30 mL) and methanesulfonic acid (3 mL) was added DDQ (74 mg, 327 μ mol, 3.3 equiv), and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at 0 °C. After quenching and standard workup, the crude product was washed with MeOH and n-pentane. The crude product was further purified by recrystallization from hot $CHCl₃$, and 4c was obtained as colorless crystalline solid (51 mg, 48 μmol, 48%); mp > 400 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₂D₂Cl₄, 373 K): δ 8.66 (s, 6H, H-1′,4′,9,11,20,22), 8.09 (s, 6H, H-8′,9′,4,5,15,16), 7.82 (s, 6H, H-5',12',1,8,12,19), 6.17 (s, 2H, bridgehead-H), 4.17 (s, 18H, OCH₃- $7′$,10′,3,6,14,17), 4.08 (s, 18H, OCH₃-6′,11′,2,7,13,18) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₂D₂Cl₄, 373 K): δ 150.0 (C-7',10',3,6,14,17), 149.6 (C-6′,11′,2,7,13,18), 142.4 (C-2′,3′,9a,10a,20a,21a), 126.9 (C-4′a,12′b,8b,11a,19b,22a), 124.4 (C-8′a,8′b,4a,4b,15a,15b), 123.9 (C-4′b,12′a,8a,11b,19a,22b), 117.7 (C-1′,4′,9,11,20,22), 106.7 (C- $8′,9′,4,5,15,16$), 106.1 (C-5′,12′,1,8,12,19), 56.7 (OCH₃), 56.5 (OCH₃), 54.3 (bridgehead-C) ppm; FT IR (ATR): \overline{v} 2993 (w), 2932 (w), 2826 (w), 1739 (w), 1713 (w), 1617 (m), 1509 (s), 1493 (m), 1462 (m), 1448 (m), 1415 (s), 1382 (w), 1333 (w), 1258 (s), 1211 (s), 1197 (s), 1170 (m), 1148 (s), 1036 (s), 975 (w), 956 (w), 899 (w), 875 (w), 838 (s), 816 (m), 789 (w), 765 (m), 722 (w), 683 (w), 618 (s) cm⁻¹; UV−vis λ_{max} /nm (log) 283 (5.30), 314 (4.95), 327 (4.94) sh 347 (4.22); HRMS (MALDI) m/z: [M]+ Calcd for $C_{68}H_{56}O_{12}$ 1064.37663; Found 1064.37334. Anal. Calcd for $C_{68}H_{56}O_{12}$ 1.5 H₂O: C, 74.78, H, 5.45. Found: C, 74.85, H, 5.51.

10,21-[6′,7′,10′,11′-Di(9″,10″-dihydroanthracen-9″,10″-yl) triphenylen-2′,3′-yl]-10,21-dihydro-(2,3,6,7,13,14,17,18-tetra- (9″,10″-dihydroanthracen-9″,10″-yl)tetrabenzo[a,c,l,n] **pentacene 8.** To a solution of 7 (30 mg, 17 μ mol, 1.0 equiv) in dry DCM (9 mL) and methanesulfonic acid (1 mL) was added DDQ (13.5 mg, 59 μ mol, 3.5 equiv), and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C. After quenching and standard workup, the crude product was washed with *n*-hexane and *n*-pentane, giving a colorless solid (22 mg) 13 μmol, 74%); mp > 400 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.69 (s, 6H, H-1′,4′,9,11,20,22), 8.58 (s, 6H, H-5′,12′,1,8,12,19), 8.50 (s, 6H, H-8′,9′,4,5,15,16), 7.47−7.42 (m, 24H, H-1″,4″,5″,8″), 7.03−6.98 (m, 24H, H-2″,3″,6″,7″H), 6.04 (s, 2H, core bridgehead-H-10,21), 5.62 (s, 6H, bridgehead-H-9"), 5.59 (s, 6H, bridgehead-H-10") ppm; 13 C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.1 (C-4"a,8"a,9"a,10"a), 143.2 (C-6′,11′,2,7,13,18/7′,10′,3,6,14,17), 143.2 (C-6′,11′,2,7,13,18/ 7′,10′,3,6,14,17), 142.6 (C-2′,3′,9a,10a,20a,21a), 127.8 (C-4′a,12′b,8b,11a,19b,22a), 127.5 (C-4′b,12′a,8a,11b,19a,22b/ 8′a,8′b,4a,4b,15a,15b), 127.4 (C-4′b,12′a,8a,11b,19a,22b/ 8′a,8′b,4a,4b,15a,15b), 125.5 (C-2″,3″,6″,7″), 123.9 (C-1″,4″,5″,8″), 118.2 (C-1′,4′,9,11,20,22), 118.0 (C-5′,12′,1,8,12,19), 118.0 (C-8′,9′,4,5,15,16), 54.4 (core bridgehead C-10,21), 54.4 (bridgehead C-

9"), 54.3 (bridgehead C-10") ppm; FT IR (ATR): \bar{v} 3067 (w), 3040 (w), 3020 (w), 2953 (w), 2924 (w), 1737 (w), 1711 (w), 1680 (w), 1588 (w), 1472 (w), 1458 (m), 1423 (m), 1376 (w), 1338 (w), 1295 (w), 1260 (w), 1203 (w), 1189 (w), 1164 (w), 1156 (w), 1093 (w), 1024 (w), 1001 (w), 973 (w), 934 (w), 920 (w), 881 (m), 797 (w), 740 (s), 689 (w), 669 (w), 634 (m), 626 (s) cm⁻¹; UV−vis $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$ (log) 282 (5.29), 312 (4.99), 323 (4.96) sh 345 (4.40); MS (MALDI) m/z 1761.64 [M + H]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₄₀H₈₀·2H₂O: C, 93.51, H, 4.71. Found: C, 93.48, H, 4.70.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01520.

¹H, ¹³C, and 2D NMR spectra of all new compounds (3a−[3g](http://pubs.acs.org), 4a−4c, 4e, 5−8[\) and single-crystal X-r](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01520)ay diffraction data of 3a, 3b, and 4e (PDF) Crystallographic data for 3a, 3b, and 4e (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: michael.mastalerz@oci.uni-heidelberg.de.

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:michael.mastalerz@oci.uni-heidelberg.de) financial interest.

Notes

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft" and "Fonds der Chemischen Industrie" for financial support. We would like to thank Michael Ganschow for preliminary experiments.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Long, T. M.; Swager, T. M. Adv. Mater. 2001, 13, 601−604. (b) Tsui, N. T.; Paraskos, A. J.; Torun, L.; Swager, T. M.; Thomas, E. L. Macromolecules 2006, 39, 3350−3358.

(2) (a) Yang, J.-S.; Swager, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5321− 5322. (b) Yang, J.-S.; Swager, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11864−11873. (c) Nesterov, E. E.; Zhu, Z.; Swager, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10083−10088. (d) Swager, T. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1181−1189. (e) McKeown, N. B.; Budd, P. M. Macromolecules 2010, 43, 5163−5176. (f) Ghanem, B. S.; Hashem, M.; Harris, K. D. M.; Msayib, K. J.; Xu, M.; Budd, P. M.; Chaukura, N.; Book, D.; Tedds, S.; Walton, A.; McKeown, N. B. Macromolecules 2010, 43, 5287−5294. (g) Ghanem, B. S.; Msayib, K. J.; McKeown, N. B.; Harris, K. D. M.; Pan, Z.; Budd, P. M.; Butler, A.; Selbie, J.; Book, D.; Walton, A. Chem. Commun. 2007, 67−69.

(3) (a) Kissel, P.; Murray, D. J.; Wulftange, W. J.; Catalano, V. J.; King, B. T. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 774−778. (b) Bhola, R.; Payamyar, P.; Murray, D. J.; Kumar, B.; Teator, A. J.; Schmidt, M. U.; Hammer, S. M.; Saha, A.; Sakamoto, J.; Schlüter, a. D.; King, B. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 14134−14141.

(4) (a) Kohl, B.; Rominger, F.; Mastalerz, M. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 704−707. (b) Taylor, R. G. D.; Carta, M.; Bezzu, C. G.; Walker, J.; Msayib, K. J.; Kariuki, B. M.; McKeown, N. B. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1848−1851. (c) Abbott, L. J.; McDermott, A. G.; Del Regno, A.; Taylor, R. G. D.; Bezzu, C. G.; Msayib, K. J.; McKeown, N. B.; Siperstein, F. R.; Runt, J.; Colina, C. M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2013, 117, 355−364. (d) Del Regno, A.; Siperstein, F. R. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2013, 176, 55−63. (e) Abbott, L. J.; McKeown, N. B.; Colina, C. M. J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 11950.

(5) Zhang, C.; Liu, Y.; Xiong, X.-Q.; Peng, L.-H.; Gan, L.; Chen, C.- F.; Xu, H.-B. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5912−5915.

(6) Biegger, P.; Stolz, S.; Intorp, S. N.; Zhang, Y.; Engelhart, J. U.; Rominger, F.; Hardcastle, K. I.; Lemmer, U.; Qian, X.; Hamburger, M.; Bunz, U. H. F. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 582−589.

(7) (a) Chong, J. H.; MacLachlan, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8683−8690. (b) Cao, J.; Zhu, X.-Z.; Chen, C.-F. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7420−7423. (c) Jiang, Y.; Chen, C.-F. Synlett 2010, 2010, 1679− 1681. (d) Roy, X.; Chong, J. H.; Patrick, B. O.; MacLachlan, M. J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2011, 11, 4551−4558. (e) Mastalerz, M.; Sieste, S.; Cenić, M.; Oppel, I. M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6389–6393. (f) Jiang, Y.; Chen, C.-F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 2011, 6377−6403. (g) Meng, Z.; Han, Y.; Wang, L.-N.; Xiang, J.-F.; He, S.-G.; Chen, C.-F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9739−9745. (h) Kohl, B.; Over, L. C.; Lohr, T.; Vasylyeva, M.; Rominger, F.; Mastalerz, M. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5596− 5599. (i) White, N. G.; MacLachlan, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8390−8397.

(8) (a) Hart, H.; Bashir-Hashemi, A.; Luo, J.; Meador, M. A. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 1641−1654. (b) Bashir-Hashemi, A.; Hart, H.; Ward, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6675−6679. (c) Shahlai, K.; Hart, H. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6905−6912. (d) Patney, H. K. Synthesis 1991, 1991, 694−696. (e) Zhu, X.-Z.; Chen, C.-F. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 917−924. (f) Chong, J. H.; MacLachlan, M. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3301−3315. (g) Zhu, P.-C.; Liu, Y.; Peng, L.-H.; Zhang, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 521−524.

(9) Hilton, C. L.; Jamison, C. R.; Zane, H. K.; King, B. T. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 405−407.

(10) Kumar, B.; Strasser, C. E.; King, B. T. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 311−316.

(11) Hilton, C. L.; King, B. T. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4058−4061.

(12) Mallory, F. B.; Mallory, C. W. Org. React. 1984, 30, 1−456.

(13) Zhai, L.; Shukla, R.; Wadumethrige, S. H.; Rathore, R. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4748−4760.

(14) (a) Boden, N.; Borner, R. C.; Bushby, R. J.; Cammidge, a. N.; Jesudason, M. V. Liq. Cryst. 1993, 15, 851−858. (b) Naarmann, H.; Hanack, M.; Mattmer, R. Synthesis 1994, 1994, 477−478. (c) Mahoney, S. J.; Ahmida, M. M.; Kayal, H.; Fox, N.; Shimizu, Y.; Eichhorn, S. H. J. Mater. Chem. 2009, 19, 9221. (d) Bai, W.; Lin, J. Synth. Commun. 2011, 41, 903−906.

(15) (a) Scholl, R.; Mansfeld, J. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1910, 43, 1734−1746. (b) Kovacic, P.; Jones, M. B. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 357− 379. (c) Grzybowski, M.; Skonieczny, K.; Butenschön, H.; Gryko, D. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9900−9930.

(16) King, B. T.; Kroulík, J.; Robertson, C. R.; Rempala, P.; Hilton, C. L.; Korinek, J. D.; Gortari, L. M. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2279− 2288.

(17) (a) Suzuki, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6722−6737. (b) Von der Saal, W.; Engh, R. a.; Eichinger, A.; Gabriel, B.; Kucznierz, R.; Sauer, J. Arch. Pharm. 1996, 329, 73−82. (c) Dong, C. G.; Hu, Q. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10006−10007.

(18) Friedman, L.; Logullo, F. M. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 3089− 3092.

(19) Tanida, H.; Muneyuki, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 5, 2787−2790. (20) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS 2012/1: Program for Absorption

Correction; Bruker Analytical X-ray Division: Madison, WI, 2012.

(21) (SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2014) Program for Structure Refinement): Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 2008, 64, 112−122.

(22) Chen, Z.; Swager, T. M. Macromolecules 2008, 41, 6880−6885.